	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/730,333	LAUDAMIEL-PELLET ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Krisanne Jastrzab	1744
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Krisanne Jastrzab</u> .	(3)	
(2) Atty. Brent Peebles.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 17 December 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE The Examiner contacted Mr. Peebles to inform him that the ame new issues, thereby failing to simplify issues for appeal.		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar</li> </ul>	e examiner will provide a w record of the substance of t	ritten summary of the substance
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applican	t/Applicant's Representative	Signature – if appropriate)